

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,709	07/21/2003	David M. Lewis	306812002601	5382	
75	590 07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael Mauriel			LE, DON P		
Morrison & Foo 425 Market Stre	*- <del>*</del> ——-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Francisco,	CA 94105-2482	2819			
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			······································					
	<del></del>	Арр	licati n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/6	323,709	LEWIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
			P Le	2819				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communion Reply	ication appears	n th cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	'ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3d) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir unication. 0) days, a reply within t ututory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of the r and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this coming the	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>26 <i>April 20</i></u>	<u>04</u> .		•			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	re withdrawn from						
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)∐	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object		- · ·	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Pri rity ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  2. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of the priority of None of the priority of None of the Certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the None of Non	documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in a cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	FO 040;		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Praction Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or In No(s)/Mail Date 2011/03		_	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1: 	52)			

Art Unit: 2819

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,630,842. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don P Le whose telephone number is 703-308-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on 7AM 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Tokar can be reached on 703-305-3493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,709

Art Unit: 2819

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/29/2004

DON LE